



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,270 11/23/2001		2001	Kazuo Horikawa	VX012386	2409
21369	7590	09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
	GROUP, PL	JOYCE, W	JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
12040 SOUT SUITE 101	'H LAKES DR	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	'A 20191			3682	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/990,270	HORIKAWA, KAZUO			
Examiner	Art Unit			
William C. Joyce	3682			

	William C. Joyce	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compactioning time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the control	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILED	OWT NIHTIW O
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expense of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	, but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered I	pecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or		ata aka di alatas s	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1	. ,,	Compliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	• ———	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-11</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>0-71.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		• •	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	· No(s)	
13. Other:	,	William John	· 9/15/05
		WILLIAM C. JO	JIOE



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 9 changes the scope of the claim. For example, the limitation "the linkage placing the control selector lever in the controllable state at the first end point and the midway position of the arched path of the locking lever" has been changed to --the linkage placing the control selector lever in the controllable state at the first end point and from the first end point to the midway position of the arched path of the locking lever". Accordingly, the newly submitted claim 9 requires further consideration.